### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

MISSISSIPPI MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS FOR INFORMED CONSENT,

-and-

INFORMED CONSENT ACTION NETWORK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

Civil Action No. 1:25cv153 TBM-RPM

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION,

-and-

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Defendants.

#### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs Mississippi Medical Professionals for Informed Consent ("MMPFIC") and Informed Consent Action Network ("ICAN", collectively "Plaintiffs") bring this action against defendants Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") and the United States Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") (collectively "Defendants") to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 ("FOIA"). As grounds therefor, Plaintiffs allege as follows:

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant 5 U.S.C. § 522(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff MMPFIC is a not-for-profit organization formed and existing under the laws of the state of Mississippi, with its principal office located at 6646 Alii Place, Diamondhead, Mississippi 39525. Plaintiff is in good standing with the Mississippi Secretary of State.
- 4. Plaintiff ICAN is a not-for-profit organization formed and existing under the laws of the state of Texas, with its principal office located at 2025 Guadalupe Street, Suite 260, Austin, Texas 78705. Plaintiff is in good standing with the Texas Secretary of State.
- 5. Defendant CDC is an agency within the Executive Branch of the United States Government, organized within HHS. CDC is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f) and has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiffs seek access.
- 6. Defendant HHS is an agency within the Executive Branch of the United States Government. HHS is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f) and has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiffs seek access.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

7. On June 14, 2024, Plaintiffs sent a FOIA request to CDC seeking copies of the following records:

All emails sent and received by Brian Kit from March 1, 2021 through September 1, 2021 which contain the terms "MIS-C" AND/OR "MIS-A" AND/OR "MIS-V".

#### (Exhibit 1.)

8. Defendant CDC acknowledged Plaintiffs' FOIA request on August 27, 2024, and the request was assigned 24-01231-FOIA. (Exhibit 2.)

9. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendants have failed to: (i) determine whether to comply with the request as required under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i); (ii) notify Plaintiffs of any such determination or the reasons therefor as required under § 552(a)(6)(A)(i)(I); (iii) advise Plaintiffs of the right to appeal any adverse determination not to comply with the request, as required under § 552(a)(6)(A)(i)(III)(aa); or (iv) produce the requested records or otherwise demonstrate that the requested records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to § 552(b).

## COUNT I FAILURE TO MAKE DETERMINATION BY REQUIRED DEADLINE (VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

- 10. Plaintiffs reallege the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.
- 11. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.
- 12. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i) requires Defendants to make a final determination on Plaintiffs' request no later than twenty (20) business days from acknowledgement of the request. Because Defendants failed to make a final determination on Plaintiffs' request within the time limits set by FOIA, under § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) Plaintiffs are deemed to have exhausted their administrative appeal remedies.
- 13. Plaintiffs are being irreparably harmed by reason of Defendants' violation of FOIA and Plaintiffs will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendants are compelled to comply with the law.
  - 14. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

# COUNT II FAILURE TO PROVIDE AN ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE (VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

- 15. Plaintiffs reallege the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.
- 16. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

17. Despite Plaintiffs' request for an estimated date on which the agency would complete its action on the request, the agency never provided an updated one.

# COUNT III IMPROPER WITHHOLDING OF INFORMATION AND DATA (VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

- 18. Plaintiffs reallege the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.
- 19. Defendants have failed to establish that they adequately applied an exemption to or adequately withheld any responsive records.
  - 20. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

# COUNT IV FAILURE TO ESTABLISH AN ADEQUATE SEARCH (VIOLATION OF FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

- 21. Plaintiffs reallege the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.
- 22. Defendants have failed to establish that they adequately searched for responsive records, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552 (a)(3)(C) and (D).
  - 23. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.

### ENTITLEMENT TO WAIVER OF SEARCH AND DUPLICATION FEES

- 24. Plaintiffs reallege the previous paragraphs as if fully stated herein.
- 25. Defendants are in violation of FOIA.
- 26. Plaintiffs sought a waiver of search and duplication fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).
- 27. Plaintiffs are further entitled to a waiver of fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(viii) because Defendants failed, within 20 days, to produce the requested records and otherwise failed to comply with the statutory requirements of 5 U.S.C. § 522 within the time limits set forth therein.

#### **REQUESTED RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court:

a. Declare that Defendants' current and continued delay in processing Plaintiffs'

FOIA request is unlawful under FOIA;

b. Order Defendants to conduct searches for any and all records responsive to

Plaintiffs' FOIA request and demonstrate that they employed search methods reasonably likely to

lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiffs' FOIA request;

c. Order Defendants to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt records

responsive to Plaintiffs' FOIA request and a Vaughn index of any responsive records withheld

under any claimed exemption;

d. Enjoin Defendants from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records

responsive to Plaintiffs' FOIA request;

e. Maintain jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 552(a)(6)C)(i) until Defendants

comply with FOIA and all orders of this Court;

f. Grant Plaintiffs an award of attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably

incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E);

g. Grant Plaintiffs a fee waiver pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(viii); and

h. Grant Plaintiffs such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 29, 2025

SIRI & GLIMSTAD LLP

/s/ R. Scott Pietrowski

R. Scott Pietrowski Mississippi Bar No. 99387

4780 I-55 North – Suite 100 Jackson, Mississippi 39211

Tel: (240) 732-6737

spietrowski@sirillp.com

5